PANEL DISCUSSION:

Using Evaluation to Manage Risk in a Changing World

Ken-ichiro Nishio
Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry

2012 International Energy Program Evaluation Conference 13/June/2012, Rome





General situation in Japan



. ? ?

• 2 of 50 nuclear plants are expected online in summer 2012. Share of electricity by nuclear in 2030 is under discussion.



-18% (-3%) Estimated demand and supply gap without nuclear in Kansai region (Osaka, Kyoto, etc.) in summer 2012.



10%+

• Increase in retail electricity price in Tokyo in 2012. (Residential price is still to be approved)



-25%?? by 2020

 Forced to reconsider CO2 emission reduction strategy.



Energy efficiency

- Electricity shortages in 2011
 - ➤ Peak demand (kW) in Tokyo in the summer: -18%
 - ➤ Annual consumption (kWh) in Japan: -5%
 - Norms, burdens, weather, and depression.
- ◆In the short term...
 - Persistence remains unclear.
- ◆In the long term...
 - Ambitious scenarios typically assumes maximum efforts, e.g., introduction rate of 100%.
 - ➤ How are barriers removed?



How is EE incorporated?

- High expectations
 - Lessons learned from 2011 crisis.
 - Behavior changes play important roles.
 - Tough situation continues in supply side.
 - Capacity, electricity price, and carbon intensity.
 - Demand side managements are attracting more attention than ever.
- ◆But more to do...
 - How to use behavior changes as a reliable resource.
 - Demand response are still at an early stage.



Quantitative estimates

Past	Ex-post	Sometimes, mainly by parties.
Present	Super-short term	Before the summer 2012.
Next year 2020	Short/mid term	E.g., electricity supply plan by utilities.E.g., supply and demand forecast by government.E.g., EE strategy by manufacturers.Mostly engineering methods.
2030 2050	Long term	E.g., low-carbon society scenario by government. Mostly engineering methods.



Key actors and role of evaluation

